

Ethics Application No: 15746 - Experimentation on Dead Animals

Subject: Albert Windar

Summary of report:

The report raises many questions about the nature of Professor Windar's experiments. He has cited several scientists and organisations from across the globe, including his former colleague Dr Zhu, all of whom experiment with live animals in the pursuit of scientific progress. However, Professor Windar has clearly distanced himself from this particular field, focusing instead on the resurrection of dead, organic matter using his patented nanotechnology.

As a committee, we must be careful not to agree so readily on experiments to bring back creatures from the dead, neither must we neglect to enforce the professional standards of our UK scientists in the field of animal neuroscience. Whilst Professor Windar is to be recognised for his distinguished efforts in the aforementioned field, it was concluded that the following questions must be addressed by the professor fully before consent can be given.

- *What are the benefits, short term and long term, or resurrecting creatures back from the dead?*
- *Why not use a range of normal, scientifically approved live mammals in your experiments?*
- *What are the benefits of this practise to humankind?*
- *What method of control do you intend to exercise over these creations, assuming the process is successful?*
- *What methods are in place to prevent this technology getting into the wrong hands?*

Additional: Professor Windar's work is being supported and funded by a division of the British Military. We believe he may be working on similar projects to those he started in Geneva, which led to his separation from long term work colleague, Dr Zhu, and his resignation from the Humanitarian Demining Organisation.

See the original application [here](#).

USEC's decision will be posted here shortly